

Challenging a smart argument on the existence of God

"I am an atheist. Yet only recently I was struck by a very clever explanation on the existence of God: It went as follows:

We have the sensation of feeling hungry or thirsty, thence we may argue that humans do only experience sensations of things that actually exist, otherwise this sensation would not make sense, or it would actually only frustrate the person. Moreover, such sensations are triggered by dedicated structured in the human brain (eg hunger, thirst, anger etc). It is interesting enough that most humans long for eternal live, long for paradise and a fair instance such as God. The fact that something like the ""hunger for God exists"" as does the ""hunger for food"" exist, may be equated to the fact that food exists. Hence there must be a God.

Question: How could this argument be challenged? "

Current Reward: 23 EURO (Sep 1, 2009 11:44:38 PM)

Tags: *Religion and Medicine, Religion, Philosophy & theory of religion, Antique Religions, god, existence of god, Atheism, belief*

Question Poser: Simon B. Brunner

Direct link: <http://www.starmind.com/question/557/>